

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,633	YANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

All Participants:

(1) Susan Hanley.

(2) Richard Barth.

Status of Application: post allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 August 2004

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

claim 7

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney Barth gave his permission for a supplemental examiner's amendment to add "microorganism." after the word "said" in the last line of claim 7.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)